

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,832	07/21/2003	Masaki Hori	8614/88476	4202
24628 Weich & KA	7590 11/29/2007 TZ LTD		EXAMINER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			PATEL, KIRAN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	00000		3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,832	HORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiran B. Patel	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN 186(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 No.	ovember 2004					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		prosecution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	ceived in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)			·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,832

Art Unit: 3612

Detailed Action

Election and Restriction (11/26/07)

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required because this application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions. Applicant is requested to <u>elect a single invention and associated figures for prosecution on the merits</u> to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

Invention A - claims 1-2, directed towards a wing door opening/closing apparatus to include a wing door opening/closing apparatus is formed by combining a plurality of wing door opening/closing devices having respective different moment characteristics representing a relationship between a moment caused by the weight of the wing door being rotated and at least one moment generated by the respective wing door opening/closing devices or a relation between the angle of rotation of the wing door and at least one moment generated by the wing door opening/closing devices.

Invention B - claims 3-4, directed towards a wing door opening/closing apparatus to include a wing door opening/closing apparatus is made up by a plurality of wing door opening/closing devices; disparity of a moment produced by one of said wing door opening/closing devices with respect to a moment caused by the weight of the wing door being rotated is compensated by a moment generated by the remaining one(s) of the wing door opening/closing devices.

Invention C - claims 5-18, directed towards a wing door opening/closing apparatus to include a wing door opening/closing apparatus is formed by combining a plurality of wing door opening/closing devices having respective different moment characteristics representing a relation between the angle of rotation of the wing door and a moment generated by the wing door opening/closing devices.

Restriction for examination purpose as indicated above is proper because each of these above inventions having various/different limitations are distinct as outlined above and therefore acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

2. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Inventions (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each invention (A, B., C, etc.) to include respective claims and figures to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. This application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1-3

Species B - directed towards Fig. 4-8

Species C - directed towards Fig. 9-10

Species D - directed towards Fig. 11-15

Species E - directed towards Fig. 16-18

Species F - directed towards Fig. 19-20

Species G - directed towards Fig. 21-24

Species H - directed towards Fig. 25-27

Species I - directed towards Fig. 28-29

Species J - directed towards Fig. 30-32.

- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and associated figures for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. As best understood, Examiner has identified the Species (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each species (A, B, C, etc.) to include associated/respective figures/limitations to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species and associated figures that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable only on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form

Art Unit: 3612

or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP. 809.02(a).

- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Kiran B. Patel/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 November 26, 2007